

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700161

A. List of Restoration Activities

Project will provide funding for the installation of vehicle barriers and the permanent closure of unauthorized user created motor vehicle routes within two meadows in the American Camp and Mount Knight areas on the Mi-Wok Ranger District. Boulder barriers will be partially buried and small logs and debris from adjacent areas will be placed on routes to prevent continued use. Additional post and log barriers will be randomly placed on user created trails and anchored with rebar as needed. Upon completion of project, all vehicle use will be physically restricted to the designated roads within the area.

B. How the Proposed Project Relates to OHV Recreation

The American Camp and Schaeffer Meadow areas are lower elevation areas that receive moderate winter time use when other areas on the Forest are closed due snow conditions. Both meadows are located off native surface high clearance vehicle routes being a popular destination for OHV recreation including SUVs and 4WDs (Jeeps). Roads in the area provide access to non-motorized activities including hiking, viewing, and fishing.

C. Size of Project Site

The project will complete restoration activities on approximately 15 acres. Total mileage of route closure is less then 2 miles.

D. Monitoring and Methodology

Route and impact area condition surveys will be completed prior to project implementation, upon completion of project, and every 2 years thereafter to document changes and site recovery over time. Monitoring will also include photo documentation. Additional photos will be taken if vandalism occurs at site or closure areas are breached.

All surveys will be completed using the USFS Region 5 soil monitoring protocol from the 1991 Soil Conservation Standards and Guidelines for Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Management and the 1998 Stanislaus National Forest Motor Vehicle Travel Management Forest Plan Amendment Monitoring and Evaluation Plan.

E. List of Reports

NA

F. Goals, Objectives and Methodology / Peer Reviews

NA

G. Plan for Protection of Restored Area

Monitoring of project will include routine patrols by FS LEOs and FPOs. District recreation staff will document any vandalism and intrusion into project area and will be responsible for scheduling and the completion of any repair needs.

Both enforcement and educational signs will be installed informing the visiting public of the project and reasons for implementation.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700161

1. Project-Specific Maps

Attachments:

[American Camp Meadow Restoration Project Map](#)

[Scheaffer Meadow Restoration Project Map](#)

2. Project-Specific Photos

Attachments:

[American Camp Meadow 1](#)

[American Camp Meadow 2](#)

[American Camp Meadow 3](#)

[Schaeffer Meadow 1](#)

[Schaeffer Meadow 2](#)

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009
Agency: USFS - Stanislaus National Forest
Application: Restoration - American Camp

6/2/2009

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:		Version # _____	APP # _____
APPLICANT NAME :	USFS - Stanislaus National Forest		
PROJECT TITLE :	Restoration - American Camp	PROJECT NUMBER (Division use only) :	
PROJECT TYPE :	<input type="checkbox"/> Acquisition <input type="checkbox"/> Development <input type="checkbox"/> Education & Safety <input type="checkbox"/> Ground Operations <input type="checkbox"/> Law Enforcement <input type="checkbox"/> Planning <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Restoration		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION :	Project will provide funding for the installation of vehicle barriers and the permanent closure of unauthorized user created motor vehicle routes within two meadows in the American Camp and Mount Knight areas on the Mi-Wok Ranger District. Boulder barriers will be partially buried and small logs and debris from adjacent areas will be placed on routes to prevent continued use. Additional post and log barriers will be randomly placed on user created trails and anchored with rebar as needed. Upon completion of project, all vehicle use will be physically restricted to the designated roads within the area.		

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
DIRECT EXPENSES							
Program Expenses							
1	Staff						
	Other-District Ranger	1.000	432.000	DAY	0.00	432.00	432.00
	Other-District PS PAL	1.000	372.000	DAY	0.00	372.00	372.00
	Other-OHV Specialist	10.000	290.000	DAY	2,900.00	0.00	2,900.00
	Other-OHV Tech	10.000	240.000	DAY	2,400.00	0.00	2,400.00
	Other-OHV Volunteers	10.000	126.000	DAY	0.00	1,260.00	1,260.00
	Other-Resource Specialist	3.000	290.000	DAY	0.00	870.00	870.00
	Other-LEO	10.000	320.000	DAY	0.00	3,200.00	3,200.00
	Other-FPO	15.000	290.000	DAY	0.00	4,350.00	4,350.00
	Other-Forest Trail Crew	1.000	960.000	DAY	0.00	960.00	960.00
	Total for Staff				5,300.00	11,444.00	16,744.00
2	Contracts						
	Heavy Equipment Operator	8.000	760.000	DAY	6,080.00	0.00	6,080.00

Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009
Agency: USFS - Stanislaus National Forest
Application: Restoration - American Camp

6/2/2009

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
3	Materials / Supplies						
	Signs	35.000	30.000	EA	1,050.00	0.00	1,050.00
	Signs	2.000	100.000	EA	200.00	0.00	200.00
	Signs	2.000	300.000	EA	600.00	0.00	600.00
	Other-Misc. Supplies Notes : Concrete, bolts, paints, nails, etc.	1.000	1500.000	MISC	1,500.00	0.00	1,500.00
	Other-Boulder Barriers Notes : \$35/ton delivered to site	350.000	35.000	MISC	9,750.00	2,500.00	12,250.00
	Other-Post Barriers	75.000	45.000	EA	3,375.00	0.00	3,375.00
	Total for Materials / Supplies				16,475.00	2,500.00	18,975.00
4	Equipment Use Expenses						
	4x4 Vehicle	10.000	14.000	DAY	140.00	0.00	140.00
	Other-Mileage	10.000	35.000	DAY	350.00	0.00	350.00
	Other-Trail Crew Notes : (e.g., fuel, vehicle use, tools)	1.000	51.000	DAY	0.00	51.00	51.00
	Total for Equipment Use Expenses				490.00	51.00	541.00
5	Equipment Purchases						
6	Others						
7	Administrative Costs						
	Administrative Costs-Administrative Cost	1.000	3181.000	YR	530.00	2,651.00	3,181.00
	Total Program Expenses				28,875.00	16,646.00	45,521.00
	TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES				28,875.00	16,646.00	45,521.00
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES				28,875.00	16,646.00	45,521.00

Project Cost Summary for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2008/2009
Agency: USFS - Stanislaus National Forest
Application: Restoration - American Camp

6/2/2009

	Line Item	Grant Request	Match	Total	Narrative
DIRECT EXPENSES					
Program Expenses					
1	Staff	5,300.00	11,444.00	16,744.00	
2	Contracts	6,080.00	0.00	6,080.00	
3	Materials / Supplies	16,475.00	2,500.00	18,975.00	
4	Equipment Use Expenses	490.00	51.00	541.00	
5	Equipment Purchases	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6	Others	0.00	0.00	0.00	
7	Administrative Costs	530.00	2,651.00	3,181.00	
Total Program Expenses		28,875.00	16,646.00	45,521.00	
TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES		28,875.00	16,646.00	45,521.00	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		28,875.00	16,646.00	45,521.00	

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700161

ITEM 1 and ITEM 2

ITEM 1

- a. ITEM 1 - Has a CEQA Notice of Determination (NOD) been filed for the Project? ☒ Yes ☐ No
(Please select Yes or No)

ITEM 2

- b. ITEM 2 - Are the proposed activities a "Project" under CEQA Guidelines Section 15378? ☐ Yes ☐ No
(Please select Yes or No)
- c. The Application is requesting funds solely for personnel and support to enforce OHV laws and ensure public safety. These activities would not cause any physical impacts on the environment and are thus not a "Project" under CEQA. (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☐ No
- d. Other. Explain why proposed activities would not cause any physical impacts on the environment and are thus not a "Project" under CEQA. DO NOT complete ITEMS 3 – 9

ITEM 3 - Impact of this Project on Wetlands

ITEM 4 - Cumulative Impacts of this Project

ITEM 5 - Soil Impacts

ITEM 6 - Damage to Scenic Resources

ITEM 7 - Hazardous Materials

Is the proposed Project Area located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the California Government Code (hazardous materials)? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☐ No

If YES, describe the location of the hazard relative to the Project site, the level of hazard and the measures to be taken to minimize or avoid the hazards.

ITEM 8 - Potential for Adverse Impacts to Historical or Cultural Resources

Would the proposed Project have potential for any substantial adverse impacts to historical or cultural resources? (Please select Yes or No) ☐ Yes ☐ No

If YES, describe the potential impacts and for any substantially adverse changes in the significance of historical or cultural resources and measures to be taken to minimize or avoid the impacts.

ITEM 9 - Indirect Significant Impacts

CEQA/NEPA Attachment

Attachments:

[American Camp FONSI and Decision Notice](#)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Version # _____

APP # 700161

1. Project Cost Estimate - Q 1. (Auto populates from Cost Estimate)

1. As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is: 3

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ 76% or more (10 points)
☐ 51% - 75% (5 points)
☒ 26% - 50% (3 points)
☐ 25% (Match minimum) (No points)

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Q 2.

2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Failure to fund the Project will result in adverse impacts to: 14

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

- ☐ Domestic water supply (4 points)
☒ Archeological and historical resources identified in the California Register of Historical Resources or the Federal Register of Historic Places (3 points)
☒ Stream or other watercourse (3 points)
☒ Soils - Site actively eroding (2 points)
☒ Sensitive areas (e.g., wilderness, riparian, wetlands, ACEC) (2 point each, up to a maximum of 6) Enter number of sensitive habitats [2]
☐ Threatened and Endangered (T&E) listed species (2 point each, up to a maximum of 6) Enter number of T&E species
☒ Other special-status species- Number of special-status species (1 point each, up to a maximum of 3) Enter number of special-status species [2]

Describe the type and severity of impacts that might occur relative to the checked item(s):

Adverse resource impacts to meadows and wetlands have been observed in area annually. Unauthorized OHV use may be impacting sensitive areas including archeological sites, sensitive plants, and wildlife species. Failure to fund project could result in continued and increased adverse impacts due to lack of stabilization and recovery of impact areas and the continued illegal use within the project area.

3. Reason for Project - Q 3.

3. Reason for the Project 4

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ Protect special-status species or cultural site (4 points)
☐ Restore natural resource system damaged by OHV activity (4 points)
☐ OHV activity in a closed area (3 points)
☐ Alternative measures attempted, but failed (2 points)
☐ Management decision (1 point)
☐ Scientific and cultural studies (1 point)
☐ Planning efforts associated with Restoration (1 point)

Reference Document

Project Decision Notice and FONSI, FS project records, and monitoring of site.

4. Measures to Ensure Success - Q 4.

4. Measures to ensure success –The Project makes use of the following elements to ensure successful

implementation 10

(Check all that apply) Scoring: 2 points each (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Site monitoring to prevent additional damage
- ☒ Construction of barriers and other traffic control devices
- ☒ Use of native plants and materials
- ☐ Incorporation of universally recognized 'Best Management Practices'
- ☒ Educational signage
- ☒ Identification of alternate OHV routes to ensure that OHV activities will not reoccur in restored area

Explain each item checked above:

Site monitoring: routine monitoring of project site will be accomplished by Forest personnel during routine patrols. Project focus is the construction and installation of barriers to prevent further site damage by controlling vehicle access. Native materials (rock boulders/downed logs) will be used for barriers. Interpretive materials and signs will be designed, constructed and installed at the project site to inform the public of the need for restoration. OHV use will be re-directed to appropriate/egal OHV routes in the area.

5. Publicly Reviewed Plan - Q 5.

5. Is there a publicly reviewed and adopted plan (e.g., wilderness designation, land management plans, route designation decisions) that supports the need for the Restoration Project? 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No (No points) ☒ Yes (5 points)

Identify plan

Stanislaus National Forest Motor Vehicle Travel Management Plan Amendment and project Decision Notice and FONSI.

6. Primary Funding Source - Q 6.

6. Primary funding source for future operational costs associated with the Project will be: 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ Applicant's operational budget (5 points)
☐ Volunteer support and/or donations (3 points)
☐ Other Grant funding (2 points)
☐ OHV Trust Funds (No points)

If 'Operational budget' is checked, list reference document(s):

FS allocated recreation, wildlife, and botany funding.

7. Public Input - Q 7.

7. The Project was developed with public input employing the following 2

(Check all that apply) Scoring: 1 point each, up to a maximum of 2 points (Please select applicable values)

- ☒ Meeting(s) with the general public to discuss Project (1 point)
- ☐ Conference call(s) with interested parties (1 point)
- ☒ Meeting(s) with stakeholders (1 point)

Explain each statement that was checked

During the NEPA planning process, meetings were hosted related to the project. Additionally, the project was listed on the Forest website for public review.

8. Utilization of Partnerships - Q 8.

8. The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. The number of partner organizations that will participate in the Project are 4

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ 4 or more (4 points) ☐ 2 to 3 (2 points)
☐ 1 (1 point) ☐ None (No points)

List partner organization(s):

- 1) OHV volunteer clubs and individuals
- 2) Central Sierra Environmental Resource Center
- 3) Mule Deer Foundation
- 4) Native Plant/Audobon Society
- 5) Calif. Dept. of Fish and Game

9. Scientific and Cultural Studies - Q 9.

9. Scientific and cultural studies will

(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)

- ☐ Determine appropriate Restoration techniques (2 points)
☐ Examine potential effects of OHV Recreation on natural or cultural resources (2 points)
☐ Examine methods to ensure success of Restoration efforts (1 point)
☐ Lead to direct management action (1 point)

Explain each item checked above

10. Underlying Problem - Q 10.

10. The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been effectively addressed and resolved 3

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☐ No (No points) ☒ Yes (3 points)

Explain 'Yes' answer

Upon installation of physical barriers, all vehicle use will be restricted to designated routes and parking areas only. Presently, no barriers exist to restrict vehicle use to desired locations. Restriction signing has been installed in past, but without success in resolving the ongoing problem.

11. Size of sensitive habitats - Q 11.

11. Size of sensitive habitats (e.g., wilderness, riparian, wetlands, ACEC) within the Project Area which will be restored 5

(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)

- ☒ Greater than 10 acres (5 points)
☐ 1 – 10 acres (3 points)
☐ Less than 1 acre (1 point)
☐ No sensitive habitat within Project Area (No points)